UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,811 | 10/19/2004 | Alexandr Nikolaevich Zajcev | RU 020001 | 6555 |
| | 7590 05/23/200 LLECTUAL PROPER | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 3001 | | SMITH, NICHOLAS A | | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1795 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/23/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/511,811 | ZAJCEV ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| NICHOLAS A. SMITH | 1795 | | |

| | NICHOLAS A. SMITH | 1795 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed to the proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed to the proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed to the proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed to the proposed or amended to the propos | | | • |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allonon-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii subifiilled iii a separale, l | imely filed amendmer | it cancelling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1795 | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regards to Applicant's argument that Taylor and Zhou et al. incorporated by reference do not teach the step of determination of an optimal pulse duration based off a first and a second calibration, Zhou et al. discloses such a first calibration to determine optimal pulse parameters, including duration and duty cycle (Zhou et al., col. 13, lines 31-53), A second calibration is performed by Taylor during the maching of the workpiece by calibrating to reach a predetermined operational parameter, such as asperity hieght., of which a set of stored pulse conditions based on the machining needs are chosen from (col. 5, line 25 to col. 6, lines 13).